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RZN 18-6062: Rezone (SOUTH OF 2901 MT. COMFORT RD./BAUER-HENBEST, 363): Submitted by BLEW \& ASSOCIATES, INC. for property SOUTH OF 2901 MT. COMFORT RD. The property is zoned RSF-4, RESIDENTIAL SINGLE FAMILY, 4 UNITS PER ACRE, and contains approximately 16.76 acres. The request is to rezone approximately 7.47 acres to NC, NEIGHBORHOOD CONSERVATION, 1.93 acres to RI-U, RESIDENTIAL INTERMEDIATE-URBAN, and approximately 7.32 acres to R-A, RESIDENTIAL AGRICULTURAL.
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## CITY COUNCIL AGENDA MEMO

CITY OF
FAYETTEVILLE ARKANSAS

## MEETING OF MARCH 6, 2018

TO: Mayor, Fayetteville City Council
THRU: Garner Stoll, Development Services Director
FROM: Harry Davis, Current Planner
DATE: February 16, 2018
SUBJECT: RZN 18-6062: Rezone (SOUTH OF 2901 MT. COMFORT RD./BAUERHENBEST, 363): Submitted by BLEW \& ASSOCIATES, INC. for property SOUTH OF 2901 MT. COMFORT RD. The property is zoned RSF-4, RESIDENTIAL SINGLE FAMILY, 4 UNITS PER ACRE, and contains approximately 16.76 acres. The request is to rezone approximately 7.47 acres to NC, NEIGHBORHOOD CONSERVATION, 1.93 acres to RI-U, RESIDENTIAL INTERMEDIATE-URBAN, and approximately 7.32 acres to R-A, RESIDENTIAL AGRICULTURAL.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Staff and Planning Commission recommend approval of an ordinance to rezone property as depicted in Exhibits 'A' and 'B'.

## BACKGROUND:

The subject property totaling 16.76 acres is located south and southeast of the intersection of Mount Comfort Road and Woodlark Lane. The parcel is zoned RSF-4, Residential Single-family, 4 Units per Acre and is mostly undeveloped, except for one existing accessory structure. The southern portion of the site is encompassed with the riparian corridor and floodplain of an unnamed tributary to Hamestring Creek.

Request: The request is to rezone approximately 7.47 acres to NC, Neighborhood Conservation, 1.93 acres to RI-U, Residential Intermediate-Urban, and approximately 7.32 acres to R-A, Residential Agricultural.

Land Use Compatibility: The proposed zoning is compatible with the surrounding properties at this location. Neighborhood Conservation does have a higher density of single-family homes allowed compared to surrounding properties on Mount Comfort, but staff finds no reason why the two zoning districts would be in conflict with each other. RI-U is relegated to a small part of the subject property, which ensures that the limited amount of area and access to a public street will effectively limit the number of potential dwellings and associated traffic. The small residential scale of buildings allowed in both districts would ensure an appropriate level of compatibility with surrounding properties.

Land Use Plan Analysis: Staff believes that the proposal is compatible with the goals in City Plan 2030, adopted land use policies, and the future land use designation for this location. This property, designated as a Residential Neighborhood Area, is intended to have multiple types of residential development, which the combination of surrounding zoning and the proposed zoning accomplishes different development typologies and densities. Furthermore, the area designated as Natural Area is protected best under the proposed R-A district, which requires large areas of land and street frontage per dwelling to develop. There are similar situations across Fayetteville where R-A has been used to limit development in floodplains. While the applicant could fill the floodplain and develop it under the existing RSF-4 zoning district, they propose to downzone this environmentally sensitive portion of the site and concentrate development in higher densities in the least sensitive areas.

Rezoning the property to NC and RI-U will increase traffic in this area as the site develops, but staff believes that the surrounding road network can handle the influx of potential new residents. Mount Comfort road has been recently improved as a Minor Arterial section. The attached proposal would potentially increase the population density and use of city services at this location, but staff does not believe this would have a burdensome impact given the existing infrastructure and service coverage in the area.

## DISCUSSION:

On February 12, 2018, the Planning Commission forwarded the proposal to City Council with a recommendation for approval of the applicant's request by a vote of 7-0-0. Public comment was made against the proposal during the meeting, citing safety, traffic, and density incompatibility. Additional public comment is included with the Planning Commission report.

## BUDGET/STAFF IMPACT:

N/A

## Attachments:

- Exhibit A
- Exhibit B
- Application
- Planning Commission Staff Report



# 18-6062 EXHIBIT 'B' 


#### Abstract

ZONE R-A DESCRIPTION BEING A PART OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 6, TOWNSHIP 16 NORTH, RANGE 30 WEST, FAYETTEVILLE, WASHINGTON COUNTY, ARKANSAS. BEING MORE PARTICULARL Y DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:  FOUND STONE BEING THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID FORTY AND RUNNING THENCE N87 ${ }^{\circ} 22^{\prime \prime} 28^{\prime \prime} W 661.79^{\prime}$ TO A CAPPED 1/2 INCH REBAR, THENCE N87²2'49"W $663.70^{\prime}$, THENCE NO2³3"25"E 256.35', THENCE S89ำ14'07"E 129.17', THENCE WITHA CURVE TURNING TO THE LEFT WITH AN ARC LENGTH OF 29.14', WTH A RADIUS OF $200.00^{\prime}$, WTH A CHORD BEARING OF N86 ${ }^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$, W, WTH A CHORD LENGTH OF 29.12', THENCE N82²4'55"E A 192.10', THENCE WITH A CURVE TURNING TO THE RIGHT WTH AN ARC LENGTH OF 52.84', WTH A RADIUS OF 200.00', WITH A CHORD   $19.26^{\prime}$, THENCE $587^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ 119.56', THENCE SO2 $34^{\circ} 01^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ 58.93', THENCE S $65^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$   31.88', THENCE S8958 $55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 36.07^{\prime}$, THENCE S78ㅇ $57^{\prime \prime} 19^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 52.17^{\prime}$, THENCE S81²0'42"E 40.41', THENCE $537^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} E 25.62^{\prime}$, THENCE S46 ${ }^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ 15.10', THENCE N $77^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 12^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ 23.98', THENCE S88 ${ }^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 27.39^{\prime}$, THENCE S80 ${ }^{\circ} 32^{\prime 2} 29^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ 18.01', THENCE S75 ${ }^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$  23.82', THENCE N86 $23^{\prime} 18^{\circ}$ E 14.32', THENCE S16 $52^{\prime} 40^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ 16.88', THENCE SO2 ${ }^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ 131.94' TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. HAVING AN AREA OF 318702.96 SQUARE FEET, 7.32 ACRES, MORE OR LESS. SUBJECT TO EASEMENTS AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY OFRECORD.


## RI-U ZONE DESCRIPTION

BEING A PART OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 6, TOWNSHIP 16 NORTH, RANGE 30 WEST, FAYETTEVILLE, WASHINGTON COUNTY, ARKANSAS. BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT A POINT WHICH IS NO2 $48^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 461.34^{\prime}$ FROM THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID FORTY ACRE TRACT AND RUNNING THENCE N165 $53^{\prime \prime} 46^{\prime} W$ W 16.89', THENCE S86² $23^{\prime \prime} 18^{\prime \prime} W$ 14.32',
 42.34', THENCE N75 ${ }^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} W 35.92^{\prime}$, THENCE N80 $32^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ 18.01', THENCE N88¹2'38"W $27.39^{\prime}$, THENCE S77 ${ }^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} W 23.98^{\prime}$, THENCE N46 ${ }^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} W 15.10^{\prime}$, THENCE N37² $21^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} W 25.62^{\prime}$, THENCE N81 $20^{\circ} 42^{\prime \prime} W$ 40.41', THENCE
 THENCE N88 $21^{\prime \prime} 51^{\prime \prime} W 29.42^{\prime}$, THENCE S $74^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} W 32.54^{\prime}$, THENCE S84³ $31^{\prime \prime} 33^{\prime \prime} W$ 26.98', THENCE N18 $8^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 20^{\circ}$ 'W $28.32^{\prime}$ ', THENCE N59 $58^{\prime} 48^{\circ} W$ ' $38.07^{\prime}$ ', THENCE N65${ }^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} W$ 16.37', THENCE NO2 ${ }^{\circ} 34^{\circ} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 58.93^{\prime}$, THENCE N87 $25^{\circ} 55^{\prime \prime}$ W 119.56', THENCE NO3¹2 $12^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 30.09^{\prime}$, THENCE $587^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 659.59^{\prime}$ TO A FOUND IRON PIN, THENCE SO2 ${ }^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ W $196.47^{\prime}$ TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. HAVING AN AREA OF 84645.45 SQUARE FEET, 1.94 ACRES. SUBJECT TO EASEMENTS AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY OF RECORD.

## NC ZONE DESCRIPTION

BEING A PART OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 6, TOWNSHIP 16 NORTH, RANGE 30 WEST, FAYETTEVILLE, WASHINGTON COUNTY, ARKANSAS. BEING MORE PARTICULARL Y DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINIIING AT A POINT WHICH IS NO2 ${ }^{\circ} 48^{\circ} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 329.40^{\prime}, \mathrm{NB7}^{\circ} 22^{\prime 2} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 661.79^{\prime}$ AND NO3 $12^{\prime \prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 278.84^{\prime}$ FROM THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID FORTY ACRE TRACT AND RUNNING THENCE N8409'14"W 61.37', THENCE N82²6 $26^{\circ} 51^{\prime \prime}$ W 206.57', THENCE WITH A CURVE TURNING TO THE LEFT WITH AN ARC LENGTH OF 52.84', WITH A RADIUS OF 200.00', WITH A CHORD BEARING OF S8959\%2'W, WTH' A CHORD LENGTH OF 52.68', THENCE S82 $2^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 55^{\circ}$ W 192.10', THENCE WITH A CURVE TURNING TO THE RIGHT WITH AN ARC LENGTH OF 29.14', WITH A RADIUS OF 200.00', WITH A CHORD BEARING OF S86 $35^{\prime} 24^{\circ} W$, WITH A CHORD LENGTH OF 29.12', THENCE N89¹407"W 129.17', THENCE NO2³3'25"E 695.38', THENCE S87 $14^{\circ} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 322.92^{\prime}$; THENCE S03 $21^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} W$ 354.35', THENCE $S 87^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ $228.96^{\prime}$, THENCE N83 $22^{\prime} 40^{\circ} \mathrm{E} 60.80^{\prime}$, THENCE N78 ${ }^{\circ} 08^{\circ} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 63.59^{\prime}$, THENCE S0246'44"W 295.00' TO A FOUND IRON PIN, THENCE S03³ $12^{\prime \prime} 17^{\prime \prime} W$ 49.35' TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. HAVING AN AREA OF 326499.99 SQUARE FEET, 7.50 ACRES MORE OR LESS. SUBJECT TO EASEMENTS AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY.

## PLANNING COMMISSION MEMO

FAYETTEVILLE ARKANSAS

TO:
City of Fayetteville Planning Commission
THRU: Andrew Garner, City Planning Director
FROM: Harry Davis, Planner

## MEETING DATE: February 12, 2018 UPDATED W PC RESULTS

SUBJECT: RZN 18-6062: Rezone (SOUTH OF 2901 MT. COMFORT RD./BAUERHENBEST, 363): Submitted by BLEW \& ASSOCIATES, INC. for property SOUTH OF 2901 MT. COMFORT RD. The property is zoned RSF-4, RESIDENTIAL SINGLE FAMILY, 4 UNITS PER ACRE and contains approximately 16.76 acres. The request is to rezone approximately 7.47 acres to NC, NEIGHBORHOOD CONSERVATION, 1.93 acres to RI-U, RESIDENTIAL INTERMEDIATE-URBAN, and approximately 7.32 acres to R-A, RESIDENTIAL AGRICULTURAL.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends forwarding RZN 18-6062 to City Council with a recommendation for approval based upon the findings herein.

## BACKGROUND:

The subject property totaling 16.76 acres is located south and southeast of the intersection of Mount Comfort Road and Woodlark Lane. The parcel is zoned RSF-4, Residential Single-family, 4 Units per Acre and is mostly undeveloped, except for one existing accessory structure. The southern portion of the site is encompassed with the riparian corridor and floodplain of an unnamed tributary to Hamestring Creek. The surrounding land use and zoning is in Table 1.

Table 1
Surrounding Land Use and Zoning

| Direction from Site | Land Use | Zoning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North | Residential | RSF-4, Residential Single-family, 4 <br> Units per Acre |
| South | Residential; Floodplain; Undeveloped | RMF-24, Residential Multi-family, 24 <br> Units per Acre |
| East | Industrial | I-1, Heavy Commercial and Light <br> Industrial |
| West | Residential; Undeveloped | RSF-4, Residential Single-family, 4 <br> Units per Acre |

Request: The request is to rezone approximately 7.47 acres to NC, Neighborhood Conservation, 1.93 acres to RI-U, Residential Intermediate-Urban, and approximately 7.32 acres to R-A, Residential Agricultural. The applicant stated the rezoning is needed for a future preliminary plat at this location.

Public Comment: Staff has received public comment generally opposed to the request. Concerns noted are an increase in traffic on Mount Comfort Road and the density allowed by-right in NC and RI-U.

## INFRASTRUCTURE:

Streets: The subject parcel has access to West Mount Comfort Road. West Mount Comfort Road is an improved four lane asphalt street with sidewalk, curb and gutter, and storm drains. Any street improvements required in these areas would be determined at the time of development proposal.

Water: Public water is available to the site. There is an existing 12-inch main along West Mount Comfort Road

Sewer: Sanitary Sewer is available to the site. There is an existing 8-inch main along West Mount Comfort Road

Drainage: A portion of this property is identified as FEMA regulated floodplains. No part of the parcel lies within the HHOD. There are protected streams on this parcel. There are hydric soils identified on this parcel. Any additional improvements or requirements for drainage will be determined at time of development.

Fire: $\quad$ The Fire Department has reviewed the rezoning request and has no comments.
The site will be protected by Ladder 7, located at 835 N . Rupple Road. The property is located approximately 2 miles from the fire station with an anticipated response time of approximately 5 minutes to the beginning of the development. The Fayetteville Fire Department has a 6-minute response time goal for all development. Since the response time for this property is less than 6 minutes, the Fire Department does not feel this development will negatively impact response time averages for this area.

Police: The Police Department expressed no concerns with this request.
CITY PLAN 2030 FUTURE LAND USE PLAN: City Plan 2030 Future Land Use Plan designates the properties within the proposed rezone as Residential Neighborhood Area. These areas are primarily residential in nature and support a variety of housing types of appropriate scale and context, including single family, multifamily and rowhouses. Residential Neighborhood encourages highly connected, compact blocks with gridded street patterns and reduced setbacks. It also encourages traditional neighborhood development that incorporates low-intensity nonresidential uses intended to serve the surrounding neighborhood, such as retail and offices, on corners and along connecting corridors.

The southern portion of this site is classified as Natural Area. These areas consist of lands approximating or reverting to a wilderness condition, including those with limited development potential due to topography, hydrology, vegetation or value as an environmental resource. These resources can include stream and wildlife corridors, as well as natural hubs and cores, as identified in the FNHA study, many of which make up the backbone of the enduring green network. A Natural Area designation would encourage a development pattern that requires conservation and preservation, prevents degradation of these areas, and would utilize the principles of low impact development for all construction.

FINDINGS OF THE STAFF

1. A determination of the degree to which the proposed zoning is consistent with land use planning objectives, principles, and policies and with land use and zoning plans.

Finding: Land Use Compatibility: The proposed zoning is compatible with the surrounding properties at this location. Neighborhood Conservation does have a higher density of single-family homes allowed compared to surrounding properties on Mount Comfort, but staff finds no reason why the two zoning districts would be in conflict with each other. RI-U is relegated to a small part of the subject property, which ensures that the limited amount of area and access to a public street will effectively limit the number of potential dwellings and associated traffic. The small residential scale of buildings allowed in both districts would ensure an appropriate level of compatibility with surrounding properties.

Land Use Plan Analysis: Staff believes that the proposal is compatible with the goals in City Plan 2030, adopted land use policies, and the future land use designation for this location. This property, designated as a Residential Neighborhood Area, is intended to have multiple types of residential development, which the combination of surrounding zoning and the proposed zoning accomplishes different development typologies and densities. Furthermore, the area designated as Natural Area is protected best under the proposed R-A district, which requires large areas of land and street frontage per dwelling to develop. There are similar situations across Fayetteville where R-A has been used to limit development in floodplains. While the applicant could fill the floodplain and develop it under the existing RSF-4 zoning district, they propose to downzone this environmentally sensitive portion of the site and concentrate development in higher densities in the least sensitive areas.
2. A determination of whether the proposed zoning is justified and/or needed at the time the rezoning is proposed.

Finding: Staff does believe the applicant has given sufficient justification for rezoning the property. The proposed zoning districts are necessary to achieve the flexibility and variety of lot sizes and building types not afforded by the existing zoning.
3. A determination as to whether the proposed zoning would create or appreciably increase traffic danger and congestion.

Finding: Rezoning the property to NC and RI-U will increase traffic in this area as the site develops, but staff believes that the surrounding road network can handle the influx of potential new residents. Mount Comfort road has been recently improved as a Minor Arterial section.
4. A determination as to whether the proposed zoning would alter the population density and thereby undesirably increase the load on public services including schools, water, and sewer facilities.

Finding: Rezoning this property from RSF-4 to NC and RI-U would potentially increase the population density and use of city services at this location, but staff does not believe this would have a burdensome impact given the existing infrastructure in the area.
5. If there are reasons why the proposed zoning should not be approved in view of considerations under $b$ (1) through (4) above, a determination as to whether the proposed zoning is justified and/or necessitated by peculiar circumstances such as:
a. It would be impractical to use the land for any of the uses permitted under its existing zoning classifications;
b. There are extenuating circumstances which justify the rezoning even though there are reasons under $b$ (1) through (4) above why the proposed zoning is not desirable.

Finding: N/A
RECOMMENDATION: Planning staff recommends forwarding RZN 18-6062 to City Council with a recommendation for approval.

RECOMMENDED MOTION: "I motion to forward RZN 18-6062 to City Council with a recommendation for approval."

| PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION: | Required $\quad$ YES |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: February 12, 2018 | $\square$ Tabled |
| Motion: Quinlan | Motion to forward to CC with recommendation of <br> approval |
| Second: Brown | Motion passes |
| Vote: 7-0-0 |  |

## BUDGET/STAFF IMPACT:

None
Attachments:

- Unified Development Code sections 161.03, 161.07, 161.12, and 161.29
- Request letter
- Rezone exhibit
- Public Comment
- One Mile Map
- Close-Up Map
- Current Land Use Map
- Future Land Use Map


### 161.03 - District R-A, ResidentialAgricultural

(A) Purposes . The regulations of the agricultural district are designed to protect agricultural land until an orderly transition to urban development has been accomplished; prevent wasteful scattering of development in rural areas; obtain economy of public funds in the providing of public improvements and services of orderly growth; conserve the tax base; provide opportunity for affordable housing, increase scenic attractiveness; and conserve open space.
(B) Uses .
(1) Permitted Uses.

| Unit 1 | City-wide uses by right |
| :--- | :---: |
| Unit 3 | Public protection and utility facilities |
| Unit 6 | Agriculture |
| Unit 7 | Animal husbandry |
| Unit 8 | Single-family dwellings |
| Unit 9 | Two-family dwellings |
| Unit 37 | Manufactured homes |
| Unit 41 | Accessory dwellings |
| Unit 43 | Animal boarding and training |

(2) Conditional Uses .

| Unit 2 | City-wide uses by conditional use <br> permit |
| :--- | :---: |
| Unit 4 | Cultural and recreational facilities |
| Unit 5 | Government facilities |
| Unit <br> 20 | Commercial recreation, large sites |
| Unit <br> 24 | Home occupations |
| Unit <br> 35 | Outdoor Music Establishments |


| Unit | Wireless communications facilities |
| :--- | :---: |
| 36 | Clean technologies |
| Unit |  |
| 42 |  |

(C) Density.

| Units per acre | One-half $(1 / 2)$ |
| :--- | :---: |

(D) Bulk and Area Regulations.

| Lot width minimum | 200 feet |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lot Area Minimum: |  |
| Residential: | 2 acres |
| Nonresidential: | 2 acres |
| Lot area per dwelling unit | 2 acres |

(E) Setback Requirements.

| Front | Side | Rear |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 35 feet | 20 feet | 35 feet |

(F) Height Requirements. There shall be no maximum height limits in the R-A District, provided, however, that any building which exceeds the height of 15 feet shall be setback from any boundary line of any residential district a distance of 1.0 foot for each foot of height in excess of 15 feet. Such setbacks shall be measured from the required setback lines.
(G) Building area. None.

### 161.07 - District RSF-4, Residential SingleFamily - Four (4) Units Per Acre

(A) Purpose. The RSF-4 Residential District is designed to permit and encourage the development of low density detached dwellings in suitable environments, as well as
to protect existing development of these types.
(B) Uses.
(1) Permitted Uses .

| Unit 1 | City-wide uses by right |
| :--- | :---: |
| Unit 8 | Single-family dwellings |
| Unit 41 | Accessory dwellings |

(2) Conditional Uses .

| Unit 2 | City-wide uses by conditional use <br> permit |
| :--- | :---: |
| Unit 3 | Public protection and utility facilities |
| Unit 4 | Cultural and recreational facilities |
| Unit 5 | Government facilities |
| Unit 9 | Two-family dwellings |
| Unit <br> $12 a$ | Limited business |
| Unit 24 | Home occupations |
| Unit 36 | Wireless communications facilities |
| Unit 44 | Cluster Housing Development |


|  | Single-family <br> dwellings | Two (2) family <br> dwellings |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Units per acre | 4 or less | 7 or less |

(D) Bulk and Area Regulations.

|  | Single-family <br> dwellings | Two (2) family <br> dwellings |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Lot minimum <br> width | 70 feet | 80 feet |
| Lot area <br> minimum | 8,000 square <br> feet | 12,000 square <br> feet |
| Land area per <br> dwelling unit | 8,000 square <br> feet | 6,000 square <br> feet |
| Hillside Overlay <br> District Lot <br> minimum width | 60 feet | 70 feet |
| Hillside Overlay <br> District Lot <br> area minimum | 8,000 square <br> feet | 12,000 square <br> feet |


| Land area per <br> dwelling unit | 8,000 square <br> feet | 6,000 square <br> feet |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

(E) Setback Requirements.

| Front | Side | Rear |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 15 feet | 5 feet | 15 feet |

(F) Building Height Regulations .

| Building Height Maximum | 45 feet |
| :--- | :--- |

Height Regulations. Structures in this District are limited to a building height of 45 feet. Existing structures that exceed 45 feet in height shall be grandfathered in, and not considered nonconforming uses.
(G) Building Area. On any lot the area occupied by all buildings shall not exceed $40 \%$ of the total area of such lot.

### 161.12 - District RI-U, Residential Intermediate - Urban

(A) Purpose. The RI-U Residential District is designed to permit and encourage the development of detached and attached dwellings in suitable environments, to provide a range of housing types compatible in scale with single-family homes and to encourage a diversity of housing types to meet demand for walkable urban living.
(B) Uses.
(1) Permitted Uses.

| Unit 1 | City-wide uses by right |
| :--- | :---: |
| Unit 8 | Single-family dwellings |
| Unit 9 | Two (2) family dwellings |
| Unit 10 | Three (3) and four (4) family dwellings |
| Unit 41 | Accessory dwellings |
| Unit 44 | Cluster housing development |

(2) Conditional Uses.

| Unit 2 | City-wide uses by conditional use <br> permit |
| :--- | :---: |
| Unit 3 | Public protection and utility facilities |
| Unit 4 | Cultural and recreational facilities |
| Unit 5 | Government facilities |
| Unit | Limited business |
| 12a | Home occupations |
| Unit 24 | Multi-family dwellings |
| Unit 26 | Unit 36 |

(C) Density. None.
(D) Bulk and Area Regulations.

|  | Dwelling <br> (all types) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Lot width minimum | 18 feet |
| Lot area minimum | None |


| Front | Side Other Uses | Side <br> Single <br> \& Two <br> (2) <br> Family | Rear Other Uses | Rear, from centerline of an alley |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A build-to zone that is located between the front property, line and a line 25 feet from the front property line. | None | 5 feet | 5 feet | 12 feet |

(F) Building Height Regulations.

| Building height maximum | $30 / 45$ feet |
| :--- | :--- |

* A building or a portion of a building that is located between 0 and 10 feet from the front property line or any master street plan right-of-way line shall have a maximum height of 30 feet. Buildings or portions of the building set back greater than 10 feet from the master street plan right-of-way shall have a maximum height of 45 feet.
(G) Building Area. The area occupied by all buildings shall not exceed $60 \%$ of the total lot area.
(H) Minimum Buildable Street Frontage. 50\% of the lot width.

[^0]
### 161.29 - Neighborhood Conservation

(A) Purpose. The Neighborhood Conservation zone has the least activity and a lower density than the other zones. Although Neighborhood Conservation is the most purely residential zone, it can have some mix of uses, such as civic buildings. Neighborhood Conservation serves to promote and protect neighborhood character. For the purposes of Chapter 96: Noise Control, the Neighborhood Conservation district is a residential zone.
(B) Uses.
(1) Permitted Uses.

| Unit 1 | City-wide uses by right |
| :--- | :---: |
| Unit 8 | Single-family dwellings |
| Unit 41 | Accessory dwellings |

(2) Conditional Uses.

| Unit 2 | City-wide uses by conditional use <br> permit |
| :--- | :---: |
| Unit 3 | Public protection and utility facilities |
| Unit 4 | Cultural and recreational facilities |
| Unit 9 | Two (2) family dwellings |
| Unit 10 | Three (3) and four (4) family dwellings |
| Unit | Limited business* |
| 12a | Home occupations |
| Unit 24 | Offices, studios, and related services |
| Unit 25 | Ofer for collecting recyclable |
| materials 28 | Center |
| Unit 36 | Wireless communication facilities |
| Unit 44 | Cluster Housing Development |

(C) Density . Ten (10) Units Per Acre.
(D) Bulk and Area Regulations .
(1) Lot Width Minimum.

| Single Family | 40 feet |
| :--- | :--- |
| Two Family | 80 feet |
| Three Family | 90 feet |

(2) Lot Area Minimum . 4,000 square
feet
(E) Setback Regulations .

| Front | A build-to zone that is located <br> between the front property line <br> and a line 25 feet from the front <br> property line. |
| :--- | :---: |
| Side | 5 feet |
| Rear | 5 feet |
| Rear, from <br> center line <br> of an alley | 12 feet |

(F) Building Height Regulations .

| Building Height Maximum | 45 feet |
| :--- | :--- |

# BLEW \& ASSOCIATES, PA <br> CIVIL ENGINEERS \& LAND SURVEYORS 

January 2, 2018

City of Fayetteville
Planning Commission

SUBJECT: Parcel 765-13625-001, 765-13626-000 and 765-13627-000
W. Mount Comfort Road

To Whom It May Concern:
a. Current ownership information and any proposed or pending property sales.

Henbest, Jack \& Juanita Rev Trust
C/O Debbie Cawood
4124 Front Porch View
Fayetteville, Ar 72704-6520

Pending Property Sale to:

Mr. Bart Bauer
1417 N. Addington Avenue
Fayetteville, AR 72703
b. Reason (need) for requesting the zoning change.

Mr. Bauer is planning on submitting a preliminary plat that requires a zoning change to Neighborhood Conservation that allows for structures and lot sizes that the current zoning (RSF-4) does not allow for including but not limited to 40' minimum width lots.

The property in the flood plain will be rezoned to R-A to allow for Agricultural Uses in a difficult area to develop.
c. Statement of how the proposed rezoning will relate to surrounding properties in terms of land use, traffic, appearance, and signage.

The surrounding zoning contains properties that have an Industrial Zoning (I-1), Residential Single Family Zoning (RSF-4), Residential Multi Family Zoning (RMF-24) and Institutional Zoning (P-1). The Future Land Use Plan denotes the area that is being requested zoned to NC as "Residential Neighborhood Area". The Area in the Flood Plain is listed as "Natural Area". Accordingly, the requested zoning classification appear to conform with the surrounding zoning as well as the Future Land Use Plan.

Mt Comfort Road will be used as the primary entrance into the subdivision. Mt. Comfort Road is a Minor Arterial 4 Lane Street Section. The additional traffic generated by the property should not negatively impact Mr. Comfort Road.

[^1]Signage conforming to City of Fayetteville's ordinances will be requested along Mount Comfort Road.
d. Availability of water and sewer (state size of lines). This information is available from the City Engineering Division.

There is a $12^{\prime \prime}$ Water Line on the south side of W. Mount Comfort Road and a $8^{\prime \prime}$ Sewer Line that runs on the west side of the property.
e. The degree to which the proposed zoning is consistent with land use planning objectives, principles, and policies and with land use and zoning plans.

The proposed zoning is consistent with planning objectives, principles, and policies and with land use and zoning plans.
f. Whether the proposed zoning is justified and/or needed at the time of the request.

The proposed zonings will encourage appropriate development of the property to be in further conformance with the City of Fayetteville's Future Land Use Plan.
g. Whether the proposed zoning will create or appreciably increase traffic danger and congestion.

The proposed zoning is not expected to increase traffic danger or congestion to a significant degree over the existing zoning. The subject property is adjacent to a fully developed Minor Arterial (W. Mount Comfort Road)
h. Whether the proposed zoning will alter the population density and thereby undesirably increase the load on public services including schools, water, and sewer facilities.

The development should not undesirably increase the load on public services.
i. Why it would be impractical to use the land for any of the uses permitted under its existing zoning classification.

The lot width of the current zoning would not work for the proposed development.

Sincerely,

Jorge Du Quesne
Blew \& Associates, PA

Davis, Harry

> Janet Selby [janet@ibfnwa.com](mailto:janet@ibfnwa.com)
> Tuesday, January 23, 2018 3:50 PM Thompson, Quin Davis, Harry

> RE: bauer rezone
Hi Quin. Thank you for sending this information.
Harry. Do you have any idea how backed up the traffic is NOW on Mt. Comfort road? You must be in the left lane to turn north onto 149 from Mt. Comfort. At this time, during morning traffic, this lane is backed up well west of Rupple Road. I cannot imagine putting 500 more cars in that mix. It takes me a good 10-12 minutes to pull out of my driveway in the mornings, and I make a point not to have to leave until 9 a.m. Do you really think this is a good idea? NC?? 10 single family lots per acre? Aren't there 20 acres there? Please consider this. It would create havoc and would cause dangerous traffic situations.
I oppose this rezoning request.

## Thank you,

Janet Johnston Selby Innovative Business Furniture, Inc. 479-872-2100 ext. 225 office 479-872-2101 fax
479-466-9666
We sell solutions, we just happen to leave furniture behind!
From: Thompson, Quin [mailto:qthompson@fayetteville-ar.gov] Sent: Tuesday, January 23, 2018 3:41 PM
To: Janet Selby [janet@ibfnwa.com](mailto:janet@ibfnwa.com)
Cc: Davis, Harry [hdavis@fayetteville-ar.gov](mailto:hdavis@fayetteville-ar.gov)
Subject: bauer rezone
Janet,
Flan@ing Commission
Feboruary 12, 2018 Agenda Item 9

```
                            Jeffrey Seidensticker - In-Person
Jeffrey Seidensticker - In-Person @ 2:00 PM, 1/29/18
- Opposes rezone
- Proposal is too high in density
- Concerned about increased traffic on Mt. Comfort
```







[^0]:    (E) Setback Requirements.

[^1]:    
    

